

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/616,013	ZORZO, BRUNO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry A. Grosso	3727

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Harry A. Grosso. (3) ____.

(2) Thomas Baker, Jr.. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2006

Time: 2:00 pm EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7-9

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Thomas agreed to change the term "lever arms" to "knee levers" in the claims to be consistent with the terminoloty in the specification. Mr. Baker agreed to editing of the title to "Devices for the Release of the Dividing Wall from Coupling wirh Reservoirs or Buckets. Mr. Baker agreed to change of the word "bucket" to "bottom" in the 14th line of claim 7. Abstract was replaced by wording agreed upon by Mr. Baker and Examiner Grosso... .